		C
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 08CR00487WQH CASE NO. 08CV1189WQH
12	Plaintiff, vs.	ORDER
13	JORGE MOLINA-ESQUER,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	HAYES, Judge:	
17	The matter before the Court is the Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate, set aside,	
18	or correct sentence by a person in federal custody filed by Defendant. Defendant moves the	
19	court to modify his sentence on the grounds that he is not able to reduce his sentence through	
20	a drug program based upon his deportation status. The Court finds that the issues raised in the	
21	petition are appropriate for summary disposition.	
22	APPLICABLE LAW	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	28 U.S.C. §2255 provides that "A prisoner under sentence of a court established by Act	
25	of Congress claiming the right to be released upon the ground that the sentence was imposed	
26	in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States, or that the court was without	
27	jurisdiction to impose such sentence, or that the sentence was in excess of the maximum	
۷ /	authorized by law, or is otherwise subject to collateral attack, may move the court which	

imposed the sentence to vacate, set aside or correct the sentence." A district court must

- 1 - 08cr 00487

summarily dismiss a § 2255 application "[i]f it plainly appears from the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is not entitled to relief." Rule 4(b), Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District courts. When this standard is satisfied, neither a hearing nor a response from the government is required. *See Marrow v. United States*, 772 F.2d 525, 526 (9th Cir. 1985).

## **RULING OF THE COURT**

In this case, the record conclusively shows that the Defendant has waived his right to bring a § 2255 motion. In the plea agreement, the Defendant waived "to the full extent of the law, any right to appeal or to collaterally attack the guilty plea, conviction and sentence ... unless the Court imposes a custodial sentence above the greater of the high end of the guideline range recommended by the Government pursuant to this agreement at the time of sentencing or statutory minimum term, if applicable." (Doc. # 13 at page 3-4.) This waiver is clear, express and unequivocal. Plea agreements are contractual in nature, and their plain language will generally be enforced if the agreement is clear and unambiguous on its face. *United States v. Jeronimo*, 298 F.3d 1149, 1153 (9th Cir. 2005). Pursuant to the plea agreement, the Government recommended a total offense level of 21. Defendant's criminal history category was IV and the resulting guideline range was 57 to 71 months. The Government recommended a sentence of 30 months as provided in the plea agreement. (Doc. # 9). The Court imposed a sentence of 30 months. (Doc. # 16). Pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement, the Defendant waived his right to collaterally attack the sentence imposed.

Finally, the Defendant presents no grounds for relief under Section 2255. The Sentencing Reform Act gives the Bureau of Prisons the responsibility to "designate the place of the prisoner's imprisonment." 18 U.S.C. § 3621(b). *See United States v. Cubillos*, 91 F.3d 1342, 1344-45 (9th Cir. 1996). The Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has rejected the assertion that an alien's equal protection rights are violated when he cannot be housed in a minimum security facility or a community correction center based upon his deportation status. *See McClean v. Crabtree*, 173 F.3d 1176, 1185-86 (9th Cir. 1999).

- 2 - 08cr 00487

- 3 - 08cr 00487